

# SUBSTANTIVE EQUALITY AND REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS

A BRIEFING PAPER ON ALIGNING DEVELOPMENT GOALS WITH HUMAN RIGHTS OBLIGATIONS



#### © 2014 Center for Reproductive Rights

Printed in the United States

Any part of this report may be copied, translated, or adapted with permission from the authors, provided that the parts copied are distributed free or at cost (not for profit) and the Center for Reproductive Rights is acknowledged as the author. Any commercial reproduction requires prior written permission from the author. The Center for Reproductive Rights would appreciate receiving a copy of any materials in which information from this report is used.

The photographs used herein are for illustrative purposes only; they do not imply any particular attitudes, behaviors, or actions on the part of any person who appears in the photographs. None of the people pictured are clients of the Center.

Cover photo credit: UN Photo/Martine Perret

#### **Center for Reproductive Rights**

120 Wall Street, 14th Floor New York, NY 10005 United States

Tel +1 917 637 3600 Fax +1 917 637 3666

publications@reprorights.org

reproductiverights.org

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- 4 Overview and Executive Summary
- 7 Gender Equality and Reproductive Rights
  - A An Overview of Gender Equality
  - B Substantive Equality and Reproductive Rights
- 20 The Post-2015 Agenda, Reproductive Rights, and Equality
- 23 Recommendations

## **OVERVIEW AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

International human rights norms have recognized that reproductive rights are women's rights, clarifying that violations of reproductive rights are primarily manifestations of discrimination, poverty, and violence. Where women's rights to equality and non-discrimination are not fulfilled, women's ability to access reproductive health services and make meaningful choices about their reproductive lives is limited. In addition, where women are unable to access reproductive health services, the inequalities and discrimination women face are exacerbated due to the differentiated impact that childbearing has on women's health and lives, including in the spheres of education and employment. Gender inequalities create gender-specific barriers to the realization of women's rights, including historical and systemic discrimination; gender stereotypes about women as mothers, caregivers, and child-bearers: and traditional and cultural beliefs about the role of women in society.1

The principle of substantive equality provides a framework by which to effectively recognize and address inequalities faced by women. At its core, substantive equality requires states to identify the root causes of discrimination, such as power structures and social and economic systems reinforced by gender stereotypes and socialized gender roles, which lead to inequalities. Substantive equality also requires states to acknowledge that people experience inequality differently not only because of who they are as individuals but also because of the groups to which they belong. Finally, substantive equality requires that states measure progress on addressing inequalities by looking at outcomes of results for all

persons, including the most marginalized, and ensuring equality of results, which may require enacting practices and policies targeting particular marginalized groups.

Achieving equality, particularly gender equality, has been articulated as one of the main goals of both international development programs and international human rights law. Development programs, however, have been largely unsuccessful to date in eliminating the root causes of the inequalities women face, which create gender-specific barriers to the realization of women's rights due to the lack of a substantive equality approach.

Over the next two years, states have an opportunity to address the root causes of gender inequality by ensuring that reproductive rights, including the equality aspects of reproductive rights, are reflected in development goals and programs. In particular, states must ensure there are specific targets and indicators on reproductive rights and gender equality in the Post-2015 Development Agenda (Post-2015 Agenda), as the Post-2015 Agenda will guide a significant amount of the world's development funding during the next 20 years. The Post-2015 Agenda also provides an opportunity for states to change their laws and policies at the national level to proactively promote gender equality and reproductive rights. At the international level, states will be able to demonstrate their commitment to achieving gender equality and realizing reproductive rights, including through the development of a set of sustainable development goals (SDGs) that respect, protect, and fulfill all human rights.

This briefing paper is intended to provide guidance on how to incorporate the principles of substantive equality into the Post-2015 Agenda. Specifically, when considering reproductive rights and gender equality in these programs, states should take the following steps:

## Ensure that human rights guide and are present in all goals, targets, and indicators.

- Ensure that the core principles of human rights—including the need for states to respect, protect, and fulfill rights, ensure equality for all, and promote accountability for rights violations—are mainstreamed throughout the new framework.
- Use the principle of substantive equality to address underlying causes of gender inequality and other bases for discrimination such as race, disability, migration status, age and others that manifest as reproductive rights violations.
- Use the framework provided by international human rights law concerning the right to health (Accessibility, Availability, Acceptability, Quality (AAAQs)) to guide implementation of all goals, targets, and indicators on health.
- Ensure that women are able to meaningfully access effective administrative or judicial remedies for violations of reproductive rights, including access to information and comprehensive services, and that states promptly implement these decisions.



### **GENDER EQUALITY AND REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS**

#### A. An Overview of Gender Equality

Since their first articulation in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), international human rights principles have recognized women's right to equality.<sup>2</sup> Two models of equality, formal equality and substantive equality, have emerged as the primary modes by which human rights mechanisms and courts have sought to address inequalities.<sup>3</sup>

Formal equality, which is often referred to as "de jure" equality, requires that states provide equality in law and in treatment for all groups, including men and women. This model of equality emphasizes the need for states to eliminate distinctions in laws and policies based on group characteristics, such as race or gender.<sup>4</sup> As such, this model of equality has tried to eliminate stereotypes and discrimination by attempting to create a world where the law treats everyone the same.<sup>5</sup> In particular, formal equality provides a basis through which states can protect individuals from state and private intrusions into their liberty.<sup>6</sup>

Formal equality principles have been useful in addressing persistent gender inequalities but have not on their own achieved the goal of overcoming gender stereotypes and ending discrimination against women. By ignoring group characteristics, formal equality has not addressed the disadvantage that comes with historically and socially entrenched gender stereotypes and roles. Furthermore, formal equality may envisage a model of human rights where the state's main role is to respect and protect—rather than fulfill—human rights. This is because of formal equality's emphasis on equality in law, as opposed to in practice, thus requiring states to refrain from and prosecute acts of discrimination but not

necessarily requiring states to take positive measures to promote equality.<sup>7</sup>

To confront the historical and socialized discrimination and barriers faced by women and other marginalized groups, such as racial minorities, international human rights bodies have established the principle of substantive equality, or "de facto" equality. For women, substantive equality seeks to remedy entrenched discrimination by requiring states to take positive measures to address the diverse inequalities women face. Substantive equality demands the recognition of the various ways discrimination plays out in women's lives. It requires recognition and analysis of discriminatory power structures including historical and socialized roles of women, gender stereotypes, and laws and policies—and how these structures affect the ways in which individuals and groups experience discrimination. It further calls on states to ensure they are taking the necessary steps to proactively address these impacts and change the context in which discrimination arises.8

International human rights treaties make clear that ensuring gender equality is a human rights obligation that states must respect, protect and fulfill. The three foundational human rights instruments the UDHR, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), and the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (ICESCR)—require nondiscrimination in the application of all rights as well as equal enjoyment of rights for both men and women.9 The Convention on the Elimination of all forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) then provides a comprehensive framework for addressing gender discrimination and inequality.

#### **CEDAW AND EQUALITY**

- Article 1 defines discrimination against women to include "any distinction, exclusion or restriction made on the basis of sex which has the effect or purpose of impairing or nullifying the recognition, enjoyment or exercise by women, irrespective of their marital status, on a basis of equality of men and women, of human rights and fundamental freedoms in the political, economic, social, cultural, civil or any other field." 10
- Article 2 describes the general methods by which states should eliminate discrimination, including by ensuring equality between men and women in law and by respecting, protecting, and fulfilling women's rights through legislation and other means in both the public and private spheres.<sup>11</sup>
- Article 5 addresses social structures, including the family, that may hinder women's development and affect women's equality. It requires that states take an active role in breaking down women's socialized roles, redefining relationships between men and women, and eliminating stereotypes. 12

## B. Substantive Equality and Reproductive Rights

Reproductive rights lie at the heart of human rights for women. Because reproductive health services are services that primarily women need, due to their different reproductive capacities, ensuring access to reproductive health services such as contraception, abortion, and maternal health services is essential to ensuring that women can equally exercise their human rights. 13 Upholding reproductive rights is essential to ensuring gender equality for women, so that women are able to exercise autonomy and make meaningful choices about their lives, not limited by discrimination or lack of opportunities or possible results and without undue influence or coercion. Substantive equality can then also play an important role in analyzing and addressing reproductive rights violations, because substantive equality empowers women to make choices about their own reproductive health and lives while also requiring states to address the historical causes of health-related gender inequalities.

As states develop a Post-2015 Agenda that will guide development programs and seek to ensure better lives for all people, they must keep in mind the gender inequalities

that stem from and are reinforced by reproductive rights violations. Human rights norms provide guidance to states about how to overcome gender inequality and ensure substantive equality, particularly with respect to reproductive rights.

Reproductive rights are explicitly included in two articles of the CEDAW Convention. Article 12 concerns equality in the right to health, providing that "States Parties shall take all appropriate measures to eliminate discrimination against women in the field of health care in order to ensure, on a basis of equality of men and women, access to health care services, including those related to family planning." Article 12 then goes on to enumerate special protections for women during pregnancy, confinement, and the postnatal period, "granting free services where necessary." 15

The provision of reproductive health services must conform to the international human rights framework concerning the general right to health—namely, the state obligations to ensure availability, accessibility, acceptability, and quality (AAAQs) of health facilities, goods, services, and information on a basis of non-discrimination.<sup>16</sup>

#### THE AAAQS AND THE RIGHT TO HEALTH

- Availability: There must be an adequate number of functioning health care facilities, services, goods and programs to serve the population, 17 including essential medicines such as contraception and emergency contraception. 18
- Accessibility: Health facilities and services must be accessible to the population without discrimination, meaning that they must be accessible to all, in law and in practice, particularly the most marginalized groups. Health facilities and services must also be physically accessible, including for people with physical disabilities, and affordable, ensuring that impoverished families and individuals do not bear a disproportionate burden of health costs. Finally, information must be accessible, meaning that individuals and groups must be able to seek, receive, and disseminate unbiased, clear, and scientifically accurate information on reproductive health issues.
- Acceptability: Health facilities, services, and goods must be culturally appropriate and should take into account the interests and needs of marginalized groups, including racial and ethnic minorities, indigenous populations, persons with disabilities, and different genders and age groups.<sup>22</sup>
- **Quality:** Health services must be scientifically and medically appropriate, which requires skilled medical personnel, scientifically approved and unexpired drugs and hospital equipment, safe and potable water, and adequate sanitation.<sup>23</sup>

Reproductive rights are also explicitly recognized in Article 16 of the CEDAW Convention, which provides a right to decide on the number and spacing of children. This article protects the autonomy of women in decisions about their reproductive rights, including guaranteeing access to information, and requires states to eliminate discrimination and ensure equality for women in marriage and family relations.<sup>24</sup>

Many of the norms surrounding reproductive rights and equality also stem from international consensus documents, including a groundbreaking set of political commitments made in Cairo in 1994, the International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD) Programme of Action, and in Beijing in 1995, the Beijing Platform for Action.

With the principles of substantive equality and the framework of reproductive rights

in mind, human rights institutions have provided the following guidance to states about overcoming discriminatory power structures, recognizing differences between men and women and among women, and achieving equality of results in the area of reproductive rights.

## Overcoming Discriminatory Power Structures

Discriminatory power structures, which perpetuate negative ideas about the role of women in society— such as stigma, stereotypes, and traditional beliefs about women—and laws and policies that reinforce traditional gender roles, are some of the main factors contributing to lack of access to reproductive health services for women, reinforcing power disparities that limit women's opportunities for equality. These structures can include the legal system, state administered social and economic

#### THE ICPD PROGRAMME OF ACTION AND THE BEIJING PLATFORM FOR ACTION

At the ICPD in 1994, 179 countries adopted a Programme of Action in which they agreed that population policies must be aimed at empowering couples and individuals—especially women—to make decisions about the size of their families, and that states must provide them with the information and resources to make such decisions. For the first time in an international consensus document, states agreed that reproductive rights are human rights that are already recognized in domestic and international law, and that reproductive health should be an essential aspect of development programs.

The ICPD Programme of Action recognizes that realizing reproductive rights is a critical part of ensuring development. The ICPD Programme of Action broadly defines reproductive health as "a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity, in all matters relating to the reproductive system and to its functions and processes." Reproductive health implies that people are able to have a safe and satisfying sex life; the ability to reproduce; and the right to decide if, when, and how frequently to reproduce. Governments also recognized the inherent link between sustainable development, the eradication of poverty, and gender equality, and committed to address these issues in tandem. Furthermore, states agreed that coercive laws, policies, and practices that do not respect individuals autonomy and decision making must be eliminated. In adopting the ICPD Programme of Action, states committed to take legal, policy, budgetary, and other measures to effectuate the principles and rights enshrined in this document.

In 1995, states came together in Beijing on a similar mission: to more fully define and commit to ensuring equality for women in all aspects of their lives. The Beijing Platform for Action brought states together to agree that "[e]quality between women and men is a matter of human rights and a condition for social justice and is also a necessary and fundamental prerequisite for equality, development and peace." Phe Beijing Platform for Action specifically acknowledges the role that health, particularly sexual and reproductive health, plays in women's equality. It also relates reproductive health back to women's human rights, including the rights to decide on the number and spacing of children, to attain the highest standard of physical and mental health, and to be free from discrimination and violence, and recognizes that government action to promote reproductive health should be based on these rights.

programs, educational institutions, hospitals, employers, etc. In the context of reproductive rights, these discriminatory power structures maintain gender stereotypes which assign a primary role to women as mothers and caregivers as well as the laws and policies that stem from those stereotypes, which may limit women's access to reproductive health services and undermine women's reproductive autonomy.

Both the Beijing Platform for Action and the ICPD Programme of Action recognize the power imbalances that lead to poor health outcomes for women.<sup>32</sup> Indeed, the Beijing Platform for Action addresses the fact that "[h]ealth policies and programmes often perpetuate gender stereotypes...and may not fully take account of the lack of autonomy of women regarding their health."<sup>33</sup> The Platform explains that poor reproductive

health outcomes for women result from "discriminatory social practices; negative attitudes towards women and girls; and the limited power many women and girls have over their sexual and reproductive lives," among other factors.34 Additionally, the ICPD Programme of Action recognizes that women should be able to make reproductive decisions "free of discrimination, coercion and violence, as expressed in human rights documents."35 Indeed, the Programme of Action emphasizes the reinforcing nature of promoting women's empowerment and breaking down the power structures that limit their autonomy, stating that "improving the status of women also enhances their decision-making capacity at all levels in all spheres of life, especially in the area of sexuality and reproduction."36

As Article 5 of the CEDAW Convention recognizes, ensuring equality for women requires states to address entrenched discrimination against women, including discrimination based on gender stereotypes.<sup>37</sup> In the context of reproductive rights, gender stereotypes that reinforce the traditional role of women as mothers and caregivers often mean that women are denied needed reproductive health services. The CEDAW Committee's decision in *L.C. v. Peru* provides

guidance on a state's obligation to eliminate gender stereotypes of women as mothers and caregivers in the context of ensuring their reproductive equality.

Additionally, gender stereotypes about women as mothers and caregivers may lead states to implement policies that make certain reproductive health services unaffordable for women. In some instances, reproductive health services, including contraception and abortion, may be excluded from coverage by public health insurance or be denied subsidization by the state, limiting access to those services particularly for poor and marginalized women. Human rights bodies have consistently called on states to ensure that reproductive health services, including abortion and contraception, are affordable for all women, covered by public health insurance when available, and included on government lists of subsidized medicines. 40

Some of the most powerful structures that impede women's reproductive equality are restrictions, in law or in practice, on their exercise of reproductive autonomy. Substantive equality for women is commonly linked to reproductive rights and the autonomy of women to determine the course of their lives.<sup>41</sup> As the CEDAW Committee has

#### GENDER STEREOTYPES AND ABORTION: L.C. V. PERU

L.C. was 13 years old when she became pregnant as a result of sexual abuse. She attempted to commit suicide by jumping off a building, resulting in serious spinal injuries that required urgent surgery. Nonetheless, doctors refused to provide her with the necessary medical care to correct the spinal injuries because they were concerned that the surgery would pose risks to the fetus. It was not until after L.C. experienced a miscarriage nearly three months later that she received the medical care she required, and as a result she continues to experience a severe physical disability. The CEDAW Committee found that Peru had violated L.C.'s rights to equality and non-discrimination, her right to health, and her right to be free from gender stereotyping because the state's laws and policies effectively denied her access to needed medical care because of her pregnancy.<sup>38</sup> The CEDAW Committee, based on Article 5, used a substantive equality framework to determine violations of the right to be free from gender stereotyping, noting that "the decision to postpone the surgery due to the pregnancy was influenced by the stereotype that protection of the fetus should prevail over the health of the mother."<sup>39</sup>



noted, restrictions such as high fees, third-party authorization for services, distance from health facilities, inability to access or control family finances, and lack of affordable transport are all issues that can prejudice women's reproductive autonomy. 42 Where women are not able to exercise reproductive autonomy, because of legal, cultural, social, structural, or economic restrictions, they face inequalities in their health outcomes and in many other aspects of their lives.

In particular, women may be denied reproductive health services because of legal provisions that require a third party such as a judge, a doctor, a spouse, or a parent to provide authorization for the service. Third party authorization requirements are found in some laws regulating access to abortion

or contraception or may be required for adolescents accessing health services. Third party authorization requirements undermine women's autonomy by placing the decision about reproductive health, and as a result, many other aspects of women's lives, in the hands of others. This system reinforces the gender-based stereotype that women are not competent or responsible decision-makers. Human rights bodies have recognized that, as a means of achieving equality and ensuring that women are free from discrimination and can exercise their autonomy, states must eliminate third party authorization requirements for reproductive health services, including for adolescents, and ensure that health care providers do not impose these requirements.43

#### REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS AND CHILD, EARLY, AND FORCED MARRIAGE

Every day, 25,000 children from around the world—the vast majority of whom are girls—are subjected to child, early, and forced marriage, a harmful traditional practice that has a profound impact on the education, health, lives, and prospects of women and girls. Child, early, and forced marriage is perpetuated by gender stereotypes and discriminatory patriarchal norms, including as embodied in laws in many countries. The persistence of this practice is rooted in the failure of governments to take meaningful steps to ensure women's and girls' self-determination and equal status in society.

Child, early, and forced marriages have a profound impact on reproductive rights. Human rights bodies have noted that child, early, and forced marriages can increase levels of violence—as girls are exposed to early and forced sexual relations—and limit girls' opportunities for decision-making, including in the economic and social spheres and particularly when it comes to sexuality and reproduction.<sup>2</sup> Pregnancies during adolescence—which are common in the context of child, early, and forced marriages<sup>3</sup>—lead to higher rates of maternal mortality and morbidity; indeed, complications from pregnancy are the leading cause of death for adolescent girls aged 15-19 in developing countries,<sup>4</sup> and early pregnancies can also result in significant maternal morbidities, such as uterine prolapse.<sup>5</sup> Consequently, child, early, and forced marriage is a significant violation of reproductive rights and the right to freedom from sexual violence.

#### BARRIERS TO CONTRACEPTIVE ACCESS IN SLOVAKIA

Gender stereotypes and discriminatory traditional beliefs have limited access to contraceptives for women in Slovakia through the influence of the Catholic Church hierarchy. In rural parts of Slovakia, the Catholic Church hierarchy maintains a strong influence, including through its condemnation of the use of contraceptives. Research conducted by the Center for Reproductive Rights (CRR) in 2011 found that doctors in rural Slovakia, who may be the only providers in their areas, may invoke conscientious objection based on Catholic beliefs to refuse to provide prescriptions for contraception. As a result, women in rural areas who lack access to alternative health service providers may be unable to access contraception. Are Rural women may also feel more uncomfortable going to providers for contraceptives because of fears that, in a small community, their patient confidentiality may be breached. Additionally, because sexual activity before marriage is stigmatized, girls in rural areas have a more difficult time admitting that they use or would like to use contraceptives.

These discriminatory power structures have also led to state policies that make contraception unaffordable for many women in Slovakia.<sup>47</sup> In 2011, the Slovak government enacted a law that specifically prohibits coverage of contraceptives under public health insurance when used solely for prevention of pregnancy, a medical service that only women need.<sup>48</sup> Women are therefore left to cover the entire cost of most contraceptive methods. Additionally, the Slovak government has refused to regulate the price of contraceptives or subsidize contraceptives.<sup>49</sup> The resulting high price of contraceptives is prohibitive for some women and keeps others from using the method that would be most suitable based on their health, personal circumstances, or preferences.<sup>50</sup> The use of hormonal contraceptives remains low in Slovakia, at 20.5% of women of reproductive age, while use of withdrawal as a family planning method, a traditional method that is likely to fail to prevent pregnancy, is over 30%.<sup>51</sup>

#### **Recognizing Difference**

Substantive equality also requires that states recognize differences between groups that are the result of or may result in discrimination and inequalities. In the context of reproductive rights, for instance, states must recognize the biological differences between men and women, including women's ability to become pregnant and bear children, and the resulting different health needs of women, and that traditional gender roles of women as mothers and caregivers may reinforce inequalities.52 As the Beijing Platform for Action acknowledges. "[w]omen have different and unequal access to and use of basic health resources, including primary health services," and "[w]omen also have different and unequal opportunities for the protection, promotion and maintenance of their health."53 This means that women may require services that men do not in order for women to achieve equal social and health outcomes.54

Substantive equality also requires that states take into account the differences that exist between groups of women, which may result in intersectional discrimination. Human rights institutions have addressed the need to ensure gender equality for women from marginalized groups, recognizing that discrimination can be compounded for women based on both their gender and other identities.

Human rights treaties and bodies, for instance, recognize the particular forms of intersectional discrimination targeted at women with disabilities, 55 women migrant workers, 56 and women who are subject to racial discrimination, finding that these women's multiple identities can lead to discrimination that only affects them or affects them in different ways from men. 57 It also means that women may face intersectional discrimination that requires states to take further actions to meet their distinctive health needs and overcome barriers to their access to reproductive health services. 58

As noted previously, in order to meet their obligations under the right to health, states must ensure that health services are acceptable for all persons. This means that these services must be provided free from discrimination, violence, or coercion. For women with intersectional identities, such as women with disabilities, from racial minorities, with low socioeconomic status, living with HIV, or indigenous women, among others, intersectional discrimination can lead to further inequalities related to their health, and thus must be addressed with the sources of this discrimination in mind. 59 The ICPD Programme of Action recognizes the importance of including marginalized populations in the design, implementation, and monitoring of sexual and reproductive health programs, as means of addressing inequalities.60

#### REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH FOR RURAL WOMEN

Rural women, for example, are more likely than other women to experience poverty and less likely to have formal education or paid employment. Many also face language barriers, which can result in multiple impediments to accessing reproductive health services. Additionally, rural women often live far from health providers, which may require rural women to travel long distances, and have limited access to public transportation. Costs associated with traveling long distances to access services, such as loss of income, transportation, or accommodation costs, can also disproportionately limit rural women's access to reproductive health services, as rural women are more likely to live in poverty. Further, the disparate geographical access to health services means that women may not have another provider or health facility that they can turn to for reproductive health services if their closest provider does not have access to the proper or appropriate medicines or if the provider refuses to administer certain reproductive health services such as in instances of conscientious objection.

Rural women from minority groups may face additional barriers to accessing reproductive health services, due to discrimination and social exclusion. In many states, registration in the state or city where one lives is a prerequisite to accessing other social services, including state-provided health information and services. Romani women in Europe, for example, face discrimination in accessing social services because of barriers they face in registering for social benefits in the town or country where they live, including for those who live in informal settlements, who may travel to different parts of a country throughout the year, or who are not recognized as citizens of the country in which they reside. As a result of these barriers and prevalent gender and racial stereotypes directed at Romani communities, Romani women may not be able to access reproductive health services they need, or they may face severe human right abuses in accessing those services, including forced sterilization.

#### EQUALITY AND CONTRACEPTIVE ACCESS IN THE PHILIPPINES

Research by CRR provides a glimpse into the impact on all aspects of women's lives of denying women access to contraception, a situation that can have particular consequences for poor women. In the Philippines, for example, a Manila City Executive Order effectively bans all modern contraception provision in public health facilities, an outcome that has a particularly devastating impact on poor communities.<sup>67</sup> This order not only imposes a power structure under which women cannot effectively exercise their reproductive autonomy; it also has a profound impact on many aspects of women's lives, including their health, socioeconomic status, employment, and personal security. Women in Manila City reported mental anguish, including fear and anxiety, at the thought of getting pregnant again because they could not afford unsubsidized contraception outside of the public health facilities.<sup>68</sup> Even where another pregnancy would threaten the life or health of a woman, doctors at public health facilities were powerless to provide contraception, contributing to higher rates of maternal mortality and morbidity.<sup>69</sup> Some women who tried to avoid sex with their husbands because of fear of pregnancy and lack of adequate contraception reported that they were then subjected to sexual violence. 70 Although the Filipino government passed groundbreaking legislation in 2012 to provide universal and free access to contraceptives for all women in the Philippines, as of January 2014 the state had cut all funding for this program, effectively continuing to deny women, particularly poor women, access to needed contraceptive services.

As with other women, girls may face barriers to reproductive equality and autonomy resulting from their age, which may lead parents and providers to exclude them from decision-making about their health or deny them confidential health services.71 Denying adolescent girls reproductive health services can have implications not only for their health but also for their education and future prospects, perpetuating cycles of poverty for women and girls. Human rights bodies have determined that adolescents should be given the opportunity to participate in decisions about their health, including their reproductive health, in an environment that protects their privacy and is youth-friendly.72 Both the Beijing Platform for Action and the ICPD Programme of Action address the

marginalized situation of adolescents, who "are particularly vulnerable [to abuses of reproductive rights] because of their lack of information and access to relevant services in most countries."<sup>73</sup>

#### **Ensuring Equality of Results**

As noted above, substantive equality requires that states ensure equality of opportunities and results for marginalized groups including women, in addition to ensuring non-discriminatory treatment. This requires states to take affirmative measures to address inequalities, which may indicate that states use differential treatment in favor of marginalized groups to address historical and systemic discrimination.<sup>76</sup> As such, key

#### REPRODUCTIVE EQUALITY AND ADOLESCENTS IN TANZANIA

Violations related to reproductive health have a particular impact on the development and lives of adolescent girls and result in discrimination, as early pregnancy is more likely to lead to complications that put girls' health and lives at risk, and unplanned pregnancy can discriminatorily delay or deny girls access to education. In 2013, CRR released a fact-finding report on denial of education to pregnant girls in mainland Tanzania, due solely to their pregnancy. Every year, thousands of adolescent girls in mainland Tanzania undergo the humiliating practice of forced pregnancy testing in school, sometimes as often as once per month. Adolescent girls found to be pregnant are immediately expelled. Over 55,000 female students have been forced out of mainland Tanzanian schools in the past decade, solely because they are pregnant.

When adolescent girls are found to be pregnant, they are often unable to return to school even after giving birth. For example, when Chika was 16 years old, she began a relationship with a 20-year-old man who could help support her by paying for meals during the school day at her school in Dar-es-Salaam—meals she could not otherwise afford. Because she had never been taught how to prevent pregnancy, she became pregnant, which was discovered when she underwent a forced pregnancy test mandated by her school. About a week in advance of the test, the headmistress of the school announced that all of the girls would be taking a trip to the hospital, and when the time of the trip came, she informed the girls that they would undergo pregnancy testing. A nurse palpated Chika's abdomen, causing her pain, and did not ask Chika's consent as she continued to perform tests to determine if Chika was pregnant. When the nurse determined that she was pregnant, Chika was forced to leave school, although she was allowed to take her primary school exams later in the year to allow her to graduate. However, because of her pregnancy, parental responsibilities, and lack of funding from her family, Chika was not able to return for secondary school, a situation that is all too common for girls in mainland Tanzania.<sup>75</sup>



human rights bodies have found that states may need to adopt special measures of a temporary or permanent nature to eliminate discrimination and take positive measures to achieve gender equality.<sup>77</sup>

Ensuring equality of results for women means making sure that women have positive reproductive health outcomes, meeting certain indicators such as low rates of maternal mortality, adolescent pregnancy, unsafe abortion, and unmet need for contraceptives. Indeed, human rights bodies have often called on states to measure and explicitly ensure these positive outcomes for all women as part of their obligations to ensure reproductive rights. 78

In order to ensure equality of results, states must make high quality reproductive health services available, accessible, and affordable to women. In the context of women's health, equality requires that states first remove barriers to women's access to health care, <sup>79</sup> including by providing services for the specific health needs of women, including particular groups of women, and ensuring that reproductive health services are legal. <sup>80</sup> For instance, human rights bodies have

found that in order to ensure women's reproductive rights, a comprehensive range of contraceptives, including emergency contraceptives, must be widely available and affordable, indicating that states must take all necessary measures to ensure contraceptive access for women.<sup>81</sup>

Additionally, ensuring access to reproductive health services for marginalized groups of women requires states to take positive measures tailored to those groups in order to achieve equal health outcomes with other women. The situation of rural women, for instance, may require states to provide free or low-cost services or mobile clinics near their homes with providers who are trained in reproductive health, as well as subsidized transportation to those services. Ensuring access for women with disabilities may require that health care facilities are physically accessible, that information is provided in accessible formats that women with disabilities can understand, and that providers are trained to work with women with disabilities and ensure full respect for their human rights, including their right to make decisions about their reproductive health.82

#### ENSURING MATERNAL HEALTH: ALYNE DA SILVA PIMENTEL V. BRAZIL

Maternal mortality violates women's rights to equality and non-discrimination, as maternal mortality often results from denying women access to safe, quality reproductive health services that only they need. Human rights bodies have indicated that ensuring equality of health results—including by lowering the maternal mortality rate—is an important indicator of a state's success in overcoming these reproductive rights violations.<sup>83</sup>

Equality of results was an important part of the CEDAW Committee's analysis in its first case regarding maternal mortality, *Alyne da Silva Pimentel v. Brazil*. Alyne, a pregnant woman of Afro-Brazilian descent, suffered obstetric complications during her sixth month of pregnancy. She went to a nearby health facility with abdominal pain and nausea, but was not provided with the care her symptoms indicated.<sup>84</sup> A few days later, Alyne went back to the clinic and delivered a stillborn baby. A Lack of previous medical care led to further complications affecting Alyne's health, including a delay of 14 hours for a surgery to remove parts of the placenta. Following the stillbirth, as her condition continued to deteriorate, a local private hospital refused to send an ambulance to pick up Alyne at the health facility so that she could receive proper emergency obstetric care. Alyne died of these complications on November 16, 2002.<sup>85</sup>

The CEDAW Committee found that Brazil should have provided Alyne with quality maternal health care in order to prevent her complications and her death, finding that the state had discriminated against her in ensuring the rights to health and life.<sup>86</sup> These violations resulted from a systemic problem concerning health care during pregnancy and delivery in Brazil,<sup>87</sup> a situation that only affects women. In particular, the CEDAW Committee highlighted that the poor quality of care that Alyne received was not only linked to inequalities based on her gender, but also to her race and socio-economic status.<sup>88</sup>

In its decision, the CEDAW Committee highlighted that Alyne was a poor, Afro-Brazilian women. It acknowledged that Brazil had polices in place to provide comprehensive maternal health care to women, but those policies were not implemented so as to achieve equality of health outcomes for all women in Brazil.<sup>89</sup> The CEDAW Committee recommended that Brazil ensure affordable emergency obstetric services, provide trainings to health professionals and judges, implement a national plan on maternal health, and impose sanctions on health care providers who violate women's reproductive rights.<sup>90</sup>

## THE POST-2015 AGENDA, REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS, AND EQUALITY

Taking into account the Beijing Platform for Action, the ICPD Programme of Action, and the many advances in human rights standards that have followed these important documents, the Post-2015 Agenda provides an opportunity for states to fully reflect on, enumerate, and implement their reproductive rights obligations, in line with the goal of ensuring gender equality. This section reflects on how the new goals, targets and indicators developed within the Post-2015 process should reflect human rights standards and provides guidance to states on the measures they should take to ensure that gender equality and reproductive rights are fully reflected in the Post-2015 Agenda.

#### Methodology behind Human Rights-Based Targets and Indicators

A key challenge states have faced in achieving full implementation of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) stems from a lack of integration of human rights into the MDG framework. For instance, the MDGs did not take into account the persistent inequalities faced by marginalized groups in development, and the implementation of the MDGs in many cases has undermined human rights by leaving behind these marginalized groups and further entrenching discrimination. leading to unequal development outcomes.92 Additionally, because the MDGs were only applicable to developing countries. those who were marginalized in developed states were not included. The MDGs also failed to create effective mechanisms or utilize existing systems to monitor and hold states accountable for their development commitments at local, national, and international levels. The human rights framework could address these problems by providing a set of agreed state obligations to guide state implementation and ensure accountability for human rights and development commitments.

In order for the Post-2015 Agenda to be successful, states will need to adopt a framework that has a meaningful basis in human rights, particularly one that incorporates substantive equality, in all of its goals, including goals related to health, gender equality and accountability or rule of law. To fully utilize a human rights framework in developing the SDGs, states must ensure that every goal contains measures to respect, protect, and fulfill human rights. Respecting human rights requires states to refrain from interfering in the equal enjoyment of rights. **Protecting** human rights requires states to take steps, including by enacting laws and policies, to prevent violations of rights by state and non-state actors. Fulfilling human rights requires states to take positive measures to enable people to exercise their rights on an equal basis, to report on those measures through data disaggregated by age, region, race, ethnicity, disability, income, migration status, and sexual orientation, and to make changes to practices based on gaps identified through data and qualitative observation.

Additionally, as part of a human rights-based approach, states should measure progress on their Post-2015 commitments by **incorporating a variety of indicators**. These indicators should include not only quantitative measures but also indicators that emphasize the process of development and encompass concepts that may only be assessed through qualitative observation. For instance, human rights standards often require states to change their legal framework and provide effective accountability

mechanisms—changes that do not easily lend themselves to quantitative assessments but can be qualitatively observed. Human rights bodies also assess the process by which states meet their human rights obligations, rather than solely focusing on outcomes, by mandating and recognizing the steps that states take to meet those obligations and providing states with guideposts by which they can monitor progress.<sup>93</sup>

Finally, human rights-based targets and indicators in the Post-2015 Agenda should require states to

develop their own national plans for implementation of the SDGs. These plans should take into account the state's particular circumstances but also provide ambitious benchmarks that reflect the state's human rights obligations. Such national plans will have two distinct advantages: (1) they will promote national-level support for the implementation of the SDGs by showing how these universal goals translate into national-level changes; and (2) they will provide further mechanisms for civil society participation, transparency, and accountability.94





## RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE POST-2015 AGENDA AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS

#### REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS UNDER AN SDG ON HEALTH

In August 2014, the Open Working Group (OWG) on Sustainable Development Goals adopted the following targets related to reproductive rights under an SDG on Health,<sup>95</sup> which should be implemented in line with states' human rights obligations:

Target: By 2030, ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care services, including for family planning, information and education, and the integration of reproductive health into national strategies and programmes.

Under the right to health, states must ensure that reproductive health information and services are available, accessible, acceptable, and of good quality (AAAQ).<sup>96</sup> Human rights obligations also provide that, in order to ensure gender equality under the right to health, states must take positive measures to provide health information and services that primarily women need, including a comprehensive range of reproductive health services and information, such as safe abortion.<sup>97</sup>

Target: By 2030, reduce the global maternal mortality ratio to less than 70 per 100,000 live births.

Women and girls have a right to be free from preventable maternal mortality and morbidity, as part of their rights to life, health, and to be free from discrimination. This means that states must provide access to skilled birth attendants, emergency obstetric services, safe abortion and post-abortion care, and pre- and post-natal care for all women and girls, giving special attention to marginalized groups. They must also create an enabling environment for women to access a comprehensive range of reproductive health services.

#### Reproductive Rights Indicators for an SDG on Health:

- Respect: The state has developed ambitious, clear, and assessable national targets and adopted a national health plan, anti-discrimination legislation, and national protocols for health service providers that ensure sexual and reproductive health information and services are legal and provided without discrimination.<sup>101</sup>
- Protect: The state has implemented regulations to protect reproductive rights through monitoring of public and private health facilities, ensuring that a comprehensive range of reproductive health services are offered free or at low cost, and regulating conscientious objection.<sup>102</sup>
- **Yellill:** The state is taking concrete steps to ensure greater access to sexual and reproductive health information and services, in line with national targets. Measurements include:
  - Percentage of women who access reproductive health commodities and services, disaggregated for marginalized groups of women and by type of commodity or service.
  - Percentage of providers who have been trained in respectful sexual and reproductive health care.
  - The maternal mortality ratio, unmet demand for contraceptives, and the percentage of abortions that are considered unsafe<sup>103</sup>, disaggregated to include women from marginalized groups.

#### REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS IN AN SDG ON GENDER EQUALITY

In August 2014, the OWG adopted the following targets related to reproductive rights under an SDG on Gender Equality,<sup>104</sup> which should be implemented in line with states' human rights obligations:

Target: Ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health and reproductive rights as agreed in accordance with the Programme of Action of the International Conference on Population and Development and the Beijing Platform for Action and the outcome documents of their review conferences

Recognizing the importance of reproductive autonomy in ensuring gender equality, Article 16 of the CEDAW Convention provides a right for all women to decide on the number and spacing of their children. As such, it is essential to retain a target and related indicators on sexual and reproductive health and rights under an SDG on Gender Equality to reflect the root causes of gender discrimination, including those that stem from and are perpetuated by violations of sexual and reproductive rights.

#### Target: Eliminate all harmful practices, such as child, early and forced marriage and female genital mutilation.

Child, early, and forced marriage creates a continuum of human rights violations for women and girls throughout their lives, perpetuating a cycle of inequality in the family and in society and impacting sexual and reproductive health. <sup>106</sup> It is essential that states immediately end this practice.

#### Reproductive Rights Indicators for an SDG on Gender Equality

- Respect: The state has adopted ambitious, clear, and assessable national-level targets and a legal and policy framework that promotes substantive gender equality.
- **Protect:** The state has regulated the use of conscientious objection and ensured that these services are provided with the full free and informed consent of the women and girls involved, without the need for third-party authorization.
- Protect: The state has harmonized and implemented all laws regulating marriage to prohibit child, early, and forced marriages and has provided women and girls with access to effective justice mechanisms that protect them against discrimination based on religious and traditional practices.
- **Yellill:** The state has taken significant and concrete measures to enable women and girls to exercise sexual and reproductive autonomy. Measurements include:
  - Percentage of women and girls who have access to comprehensive sexual and reproductive health services, without restrictions on reproductive decision-making and disaggregated for relevant groups.
  - Level of violence against women and girls, including in reproductive health facilities and within the family, disaggregated by type of violence.
  - Number of reported cases of child, early, and forced marriage and levels of responsiveness from relevant government and national human rights institutions.
  - Number of government officials, health care providers, and schools trained on women's rights, including sexual and reproductive rights, and substantive gender equality.<sup>107</sup>
  - Initiating a public campaign to support positive public attitudes towards gender equality and women's empowerment.
  - Legislative and policy change to ensure women and girls are not removed from or unable to access school or employment due to pregnancy, sexual activity, or marriage.

#### ACCOUNTABILITY FRAMEWORK FOR THE POST-2015 AGENDA

In order to ensure positive development outcomes that comply with states' human rights obligations and are responsive to people's needs, it is critical that the Post-2015 Agenda incorporates mechanisms for accountability, monitoring, and review at the national and global levels.

#### Indicators on Participation, Data, and Access to Justice

- Development or improvement of judicial and administrative redress measures, including at the local and national levels, for individuals who have been victims of human rights violations, and provision of adequate remedies, including adequate compensation, for violations of rights.
- ≥ Collection of publicly accessible data on the implementation of the Post-2015 Agenda.<sup>110</sup>
- Ratification of international human rights treaties and reporting and participation in reviews of human rights obligations and the Post-2015 Agenda.<sup>111</sup>
- Assessment of which groups have been included in the design, monitoring, and implementation of development policies at the local and national levels.

#### **Global Review Framework**

The Universal Periodic Review (UPR) at the Human Rights Council provides a robust model for a global, human rights-based review of the Post-2015 Agenda at the newly-established High Level Political Forum (HLPF). States should ensure that a global review for the Post-2015 Agenda reflects UPR working methods, <sup>112</sup> including the following:

- **A culture of universal participation:** Because the HLPF review, unlike the UPR, will be voluntary, 113 states themselves must prioritize reporting and constructively participate in reviews.
- An interactive dialogue that reviews each state's progress in implementing the Post-2015 Agenda: This dialogue will allow reviewing states and civil society to provide feedback and share experiences to further the implementation of the SDGs.
- Review of every state three times between 2016 and 2030: This schedule will allow states to receive an initial set of recommendations and follow up on those recommendations.
- **Robust reporting that feeds into reviews:** Reports, all publicly available, should consist of:
  - Member state reports, which monitor progress and analyze outstanding challenges, based on disaggregated and updated data and in consultation with civil society and other stakeholders.
  - Stakeholder reports, including from civil society, included in the review by states.
  - United Nations reports, summarizing assessments by UN agencies and the outcomes of other reviews that touch on sustainable development, particularly those from the human rights system.
- **Sufficient resources for the HLPF:** The HLPF should have sufficient meeting time to conduct 40 reviews each year, with a secretariat that can support those reviews.
- Open, participatory, and transparent processes: Civil society organizations, including those without ECOSOC status, should be permitted to attend and participate in interactive dialogues, including with support from an established trust fund for this purpose. The reviews should also be broadcast in **live webcasts** for those stakeholders who cannot travel to New York.

#### REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS IN AN SDG ON EDUCATION

In August 2014, the OWG declined to adopt a target on comprehensive sexuality education under the SDG on Education. Comprehensive sexuality education is, however, essential for developing important life skills and ensuring human rights and thus should be included as an indicator under the SDG on Education. According to human rights standards, comprehensive sexuality education should be available to everyone without the need for parental consent, both inside and outside of school settings.<sup>109</sup>

#### Reproductive Rights Indicator under an SDG on Education:

Percentage of individuals who have participated in comprehensive sexuality education, disaggregated by sex and other statuses.

#### **Endnotes**

- Because gender inequality is primarily experienced by women rather than men, this paper will focus on the inequalities that women face and how states should address those inequalities.
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights, adopted Dec. 10, 1948, art. Preamble, G.A. Res. 217A (III), U.N. Doc. A/810 at 71 (1948) [hereinafter Universal Declaration] ("Whereas the peoples of the United Nations have in the Charter reaffirmed their faith in fundamental human rights, in the dignity and worth of the human person and in the equal rights of men and women and have determined to promote social progress and better standards of life in larger freedom...").
- Simone Cusack & Lisa Pusey, CEDAW and the Rights to Non-discrimination and Equality, 14 Melbourne Journal of International Law, 10-11 (2013).
- Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ESCR Committee), General Comment No. 16: The equal right of men and women to the enjoyment of all economic, social and cultural rights (Art. 3), (34th Sess., 2005), in Compilation of General Comments and General Recommendations Adopted by Human Rights Treaty Bodies, para. 7, U.N. Doc. HRI/GEN/1/ Rev.9 (Vol. I) (2008) [hereinafter ESCR Committee, Gen. Comment No. 16]; Sandra Fredman, Providing Equality: Substantive Equality and the Positive Duty to Provide, 21 S. AFR. J. ON HUM. RTS. 163, 163-164, 166 (2005) [HEREINAFTER FREDMAN (2005)].
- Catherine Albertyn, Sandra Fredman & Judy Frank, Introduction: Substantive Equality, Social Rights, and Women: A Comparative Perspective, 23 S. Afr. J. on Hum. Rts. 209, 209 (2007) [HEREINAFTER ALBERTYN ET AL. (2007)].
- <sup>6</sup> Fredman (2005), *supra* note 4, 166.
- <sup>7</sup> Id
- 8 Albertyn et al. (2007), *supra* note 5, 209.
- Universal Declaration, *supra* note 1, preamble; International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, *adopted* Dec. 16, 1966, art. 3, G.A. Res. 2200A (XXI), U.N. GAOR, 21st Sess., Supp. No. 16, U.N. Doc. A/6316 (1966), 999 U.N.T.S. 171 (*entered into force* Mar. 23, 1976); International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, *adopted* Dec. 16, 1966, art. 3, G.A. Res. 2200A (XXI), U.N. GAOR, Supp. No. 16, U.N. Doc. A/6316 (1966) (*entered into force* Jan. 3, 1976).
- Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, adopted Dec. 18, 1979, art. 1, G.A. Res. 34/180, U.N. GAOR, 34<sup>th</sup> Sess., Supp. No. 46, at 193, U.N. Doc. A/34/46, U.N.T.S. 13 (entered into force Sept. 3, 1981) [hereinafter CEDAW].
- <sup>11</sup> *Id.* art. 2.
- <sup>12</sup> *Id.* art. 5.
- Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW Committee), General Recommendation No. 24: Article 12 of the Convention (women and health), (20th Sess., 1999), in Compilation of General Comments and General Recommendations Adopted by Human Rights Treaty

- Bodies, paras. 11-12, U.N. Doc. HRI/GEN/1/Rev.9 (Vol. II) (2008) [hereinafter CEDAW Committee, *Gen. Recommendation No. 24*].
- <sup>14</sup> CEDAW, *supra* note 10, art. 12(1).
- <sup>15</sup> *Id.* art. 12(2).
- Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, General Comment No. 14: The right to the highest attainable standard of health (Art. 12), (22<sup>nd</sup> Sess., 2000), in Compilation of General Comments and General Recommendations Adopted by Human Rights Treaty Bodies, at 85, para. 12, U.N. Doc. HRI/GEN/1/ Rev.6 (2003) [hereinafter ESCR Committee, Gen. Comment No. 14].
- <sup>17</sup> *Id.* para. 12(a).
- 18 Id
- <sup>19</sup> *Id.* para. 12(b)(i).
- <sup>20</sup> *Id.* paras. 12(b)(ii) & (iii).
- Id. para. 12(b)(iv); see also Open Door and Dublin Well Woman v. Ireland, No. 14235/88 Eur. Ct. H.R., para. 80 (1992) (In the case of Open Door, in applying a test for necessity and proportionality of restrictions on information related to abortion in Ireland, the European Court of Human Rights recognized that abortion "may be crucial to a woman's health and well-being" and found that the injunction on information about legal abortion services abroad violated Article 10 of the European Convention on Human Rights because it was, among other reasons, over broad and disproportionate. The Court specifically cited the risk to the health of women created by the injunction because they must "seek abortions at a later stage in their pregnancy, due to lack of proper counseling ...").
- <sup>22</sup> *Id.* para. 12(c).
- <sup>23</sup> *Id.* para. 12(d).
- <sup>24</sup> CEDAW, *supra* note 10, art. 16(1)(e).
- Programme of Action of the International Conference on Population and Development, Cairo, Egypt, Sept.
   5-13, 1994, para. 7.2, U.N. Doc. A/CONF.171/13/ Rev.1 (1995) [hereinafter ICPD Programme of Action].
- <sup>26</sup> *Ic*
- <sup>27</sup> *Id.* paras. 3.1, 4.1.
- <sup>28</sup> *Id.* paras. 4.1, 4.24.
- Beijing Declaration and the Platform for Action, Fourth World Conference on Women, Beijing, China, Sept. 4-15, 1995, para. 1, U.N. Doc. A/CONF.177/20 (1996) [hereinafter Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action].
- <sup>30</sup> *Id.* para. 92.
- <sup>31</sup> *Id.* para. 95.
- <sup>32</sup> *Id.* para. 90; *ICPD Programme of Action*, *supra* note 25, para. 4.1.
- <sup>33</sup> Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action, supra note 29, para. 90.
- <sup>34</sup> *Id.* para. 95.
- <sup>35</sup> ICPD Programme of Action, supra note 25, para. 7.3.
- <sup>36</sup> *Id.* para. 4.1.
- Id. art. 5; CEDAW Committee, General Recommendation No. 25, on article 4, paragraph 1, on temporary special measures, in Compilation of

- General Comments and General Recommendations Adopted by Human Rights Treaty Bodies, at 282, para. 7, U.N. Doc. HRI/GEN/1/Rev.7 (2004).
- 38 L.C. v. Peru, CEDAW Committee, Commc'n No. 22/2009, U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/50/D/22/2009 (2011).
- <sup>39</sup> *Id.* para. 8.15.
- 40 CEDAW Committee, Concluding Observations: Austria, para. 39, U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/AUT/CO/7-8 (2013); Concluding Observations: Burkina Faso, para. 276, U.N. Doc. A/55/38 (2000); Concluding Observations: Cyprus, para. 29, U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/CYP/6-7 (2013); Concluding Observations: Hungary, para. 31, U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/HUN/CO/7-8 (2013); ESCR Committee, Concluding Observations: Kenya, para. 33, U.N. Doc. E/C.12/KEN/CO/1 (2008); Concluding Observations: Slovakia, para. 24, U.N. Doc. E/C.12/SVK/CO/2 (2012); CAT Committee, Concluding Observations: Peru, para. 15, U.N. Doc. CAT/C/PER/CO5-6 (2013); Human Rights Committee, Concluding Observations: Poland, paras. 12, U.N. Doc. CCPR/C/POL/CO/6 (2010).
- <sup>41</sup> CEDAW, supra note 10, art. 15; Reva Siegel, Sex Equality Arguments for Reproductive Rights: Their Critical Basis and Evolving Constitutional Expression, 56 EMORY LAW JOURNAL 815, 816 (2007).
- 42 CEDAW Committee, Gen. Recommendation No. 24, supra note 13, para. 21; United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 2009 World Survey on the Role of Women in Development: Women's Control over Economic Resources and Access to Financial Resources, including Microfinance v (2009).
- <sup>43</sup> *Id.*; ESCR Committee, *General Comment No. 20*: Non-discrimination in economic, social and cultural rights (art. 2, para. 2, of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights), para. 31, U.N. Doc. E/C.12/GC/20 (2009) [hereinafter ESCR Committee, Gen. Comment No. 20]; Committee on the Rights of the Child, General Comment No. 15: The right of the child to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health (art. 24), para, 31. U.N. Doc. CRC/C/GC/15 (2013) [hereinafter CRC Committee, Gen. Comment No. 15]; Human Rights Committee, General Comment No. 28: Article 3 (The equality of rights between men and women), (68th Sess., 2000), in Compilation of General Comments and General Recommendations Adopted by Human Rights Treaty Bodies, at 179, para. 20, U.N. Doc. HRI/GEN/1/Rev.6 (2003) [hereinafter Human Rights Committee, Gen. Comment No. 28]. See also World HEALTH ORGANIZATION, SAFE ABORTION: TECHNICAL AND POLICY GUIDANCE FOR HEALTH SYSTEMS, 68 & 95 (2ND ED. 2012).
- 44 See Center for Reproductive Rights et al., Calculated Injustice: The Slovak Republic's Failure to Ensure Access to Contraceptives 33 (2011) [Hereinafter Calculated Injustice].
- <sup>45</sup> *Id.* at 21.
- <sup>46</sup> *Id.*
- <sup>47</sup> *Id*.
- <sup>48</sup> Zákon. 363/2011 Z. z. o rozsahu a podmienkach úhrady liekov, zdravotníckych pomôcok a dietetických potravín na základe verejného zdravotného poistenia a o zmene a doplnení niektorých zákonov [Act No. 363/2011 Coll. of Laws on the Scope and Conditions of Drugs, Medical Devices and Dietetic Foods Coverage

- by Public Health Insurance and on Amending and Supplementing Certain Acts], secs. 16(4)(e)(1) & 37(5)(c)(6) (Slovk.) [hereinafter Act No. 363/2011]
- 49 *Id.* sec. 22(3)(b) (Slovk.).
- <sup>50</sup> Calculated Injustice, *supra* note 44, at 27.
- NATIONAL HEALTH INFORMATION CENTER, ZDRAVOTNÍCKA RO ENKA SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY 2011 [HEALTH STATISTICS YEARBOOK OF THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC 2011] 96 (2012), available at http://www.nczisk.sk/Documents/rocenky/ ROCENKA\_2011.pdf; Akbar Aghajanian et al, Continuing Use of Withdrawal as a Contraceptive Method in Iran, 34 Canadian Studies in Population 179, 182 (2007).
  - The ESCR Committee recommends that states adopt a "gender-based approach" to ensuring equality in the right to health that "recognizes that biological and socio-cultural factors play a significant role in influencing the health of men and women." ESCR Committee. Gen. Comment No. 14. supra note 16, para. 20. The CEDAW Committee's General Recommendation No. 24 recognizes that biological differences between men and women as well as socio-economic differences can lead to health inequalities for women. CEDAW Committee, Gen. Recommendation No. 24, supra note 13, para. 6. The Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities recognizes that special measures should be taken to ensure the right to health, including reproductive health, for persons with disabilities and that they should on an equal basis with others be able to decide on the number and spacing of their children. Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, adopted Dec. 13, 2006, art. 6, G.A. Res. A/RES/61/106, U.N. GAOR, 61st Sess., arts. 23 & 25, U.N. Doc. A/61/611, (entered into force May, 3 2008) [hereinafter CRPD].
- <sup>53</sup> Beijing Platform for Action, supra note 29, para. 90.
- <sup>54</sup> CEDAW Committee, *Gen. Recommendation No. 24*, *supra* note 13, para. 12(a).
- The CRPD recognizes "that women and girls with disabilities are subject to multiple discrimination, and in this regard [states] shall take measures to ensure the full and equal enjoyment by them of all human rights and fundamental freedoms." CRPD, *supra* note 52, art. 6.
- The Committee on Migrant Workers (CMW Committee) has recognized the particularly gendered nature of migration for women migrant workers, particularly domestic workers, and the abuses that accompany that work because of their gender, including gender-based violence and poverty. Committee on Migrant Workers, General Comment No. 1: Migrant Domestic Workers, paras. 7 & 60, U. N. Doc. CMW/C/GC/1 (2010).
- Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, General Recommendation No. 25: Gender-related dimension of racial discrimination, (Fifty-sixth session, 2000), in Compilation of General Comments and General Recommendations Adopted by Human Rights Treaty Bodies, U.N. Doc. HRI/GEN/1/Rev.6, at 214, para. 1 (2003).
- CEDAW Committee, *Concluding Observations:*Hungary, para. 31, U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/HUN/CO/7-8 (2013).
- <sup>59</sup> CEDAW Committee, Gen. Recommendation No. 24,

- supra note 13, para. 6.
- 60 ICPD Programme of Action, supra note 25, paras. 6.16, 6.24(a), 6.26.
- See, i.e., U.N. Secretary-General, The empowerment of rural women and their role in poverty and hunger eradication, development and current challenge: Rep. of the Secretary-General, paras. 5-7, U.N. Doc. E/ CN.6/2012/3 (2011).
- 62 *Id.* para. 9.
- 63 See European Monitoring Centre on Racism and Xenophobia, Council of Europe, Breaking the Barriers-Romani Women and Access to Public Health Care 17 (2003) (describing that Roma women throughout Europe face barriers to accessing gynecological services because of transportation issues from their rural communities) [Hereinafter Council of Europe, Breaking the Barriers-Romani Women and Access to Public Health Care (2003)].
- See Calculated Injustice, supra note 44, at 33; Guttmacher Institute, Contraception and Unintended Pregnancy in Uganda (2013), available at http://www. Guttmacher.org/pubs/FB-Contraception-and-unintendedpregnancy-in-Uganda.html (last visited Sept. 13, 2013).
- COUNCIL OF EUROPE, BREAKING THE BARRIERS- ROMANI WOMEN AND ACCESS TO PUBLIC HEALTH CARE (2003), *supra* note 63, at 7.
- A.S. v. Hungary, CEDAW Committee, Commc'n No. 4/2004, U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/36/D/4/2004 (2006); CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS & PORADNA PRE OBCIANSKE A LUDSKÉ PRÁVA (CENTRE FOR CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS), BODY AND SOUL: FORCED STERILIZATION AND OTHER ASSAULTS OF ROMANI WOMEN'S REPRODUCTIVE FREEDOM 13-18 (2002).
- 67 CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS, IMPOSING MISERY: THE IMPACT OF MANILA CITY'S CONTRACEPTION BAN ON WOMEN AND FAMILIES 24 (2007).
- 68 *Id.* at 27.
- 69 Id. at 28-30.
- <sup>70</sup> *Id.* at 31.
- ORC Committee, Gen. Comment No. 15, supra note 43, para. 56.
- 72 Id. para. 31; ESCR Committee, Gen. Comment No. 14, supra note 16, para. 23.
- Beijing Platform for Action, supra note 29, para. 95; ICPD Programme of Action, supra note 25, para. 7.3.
- CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS, FORCED OUT: MANDATORY PREGNANCY TESTING AND THE EXPULSION OF PREGNANT STUDENTS IN TANZANIAN SCHOOLS (2013).
- <sup>75</sup> *Id.* at 79.
- FSCR Committee, Gen. Comment No. 16, supra note 4, para. 8.
- ESCR Committee, Gen. Comment No. 20, supra note 43, para. 9; Human Rights Committee, Gen. Comment No. 28, supra note 43, para. 3.
- CEDAW Committee, Gen. Recommendation No. 24, supra note 13, para. 17; Concluding Observations: Congo, para. 35 (f), U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/COG/CO/6 (2012); Concluding Observations: Ethiopia, para. 34(c), U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/ETH/CP/6-7 (2011); Report of the Committee on Elimination of Discrimination Against Women: Angola, para. 162, U.N. Doc. Supplement No. 38 (A/59/38) (2004); Report of the Committee on Elimination of Discrimination Against Women: Cameroon, para. 60,

- U.N. Doc. Supplement No. 38 (A/55/38) (2000).
- FSCR Committee, Gen. Comment No. 16, supra note 4, paras. 21 & 29.
- 80 CEDAW Committee, Gen, Recommendation No. 24, supra note 13, para. 11.
- EDAW Committee, Concluding Observations: Cyprus, para. 29, U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/CYP/6-7 (2013); Concluding Observations: Poland, para. 12, U.N. Doc. CCPR/C/POL/CO/6 (2010); Concluding Observations: Chile, para. 35(a), U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/CHL/CO/5-6 (2012).
- 82 See CRPD, supra note 52, arts. 9, 12, 23, & 25; CRPD Committee, Concluding Observations: Peru, paras. 34-35, U.N. Doc. CRPD/C/PER/CO/1 (2012).
- 83 CEDAW Committee, Gen. Recommendation No. 24, supra note 13, para. 27.
- Alyne da Silva Pimentel Teixeira v Brazil, CEDAW Committee, Commc'n No. 17/2008, para. 3.4, U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/49/D/17/2008 (2011).
- <sup>85</sup> *Id.* para. 2.12.
- <sup>86</sup> *Id.* paras. 7.3-7.5.
- 87 *Id.* para. 7.6.
- 88 *Id.* para. 7.7.
- 89 *Id.* para. 7.6
- <sup>90</sup> *Id.* para. 8.
- United Nations, Factsheet: Goal 5: Improving Maternal Health (2013), available at http://www.un.org/ millenniumgoals/maternal.shtml (accessed November 12, 2013).
- Report of the United Nations Secretary-General, A life of dignity for all: accelerating progress towards the Millennium Development Goals and advancing the United Nations development agenda beyond 2015, para. 6, U.N. Doc. A/68/202 (2013).
- Alicia Ely Yamin & Vanessa M. Boulanger, From Transforming Power to Counting Numbers: The evolution of sexual and reproductive health and rights in development; and where we want to go from here, 21 & 24-25 (The Power of Numbers: A Critical Review of MDG Targets for Human Development and Human Rights, Working Paper Series, May 2013), available at http://fxb.harvard.edu/wp-content/uploads/ sites/5/2013/09/Yamin-and-Boulanger\_final-WP-withcover-sheet\_92413.pdf.
- 94 *Id.* at 23-24.
- General Assembly, Report of the Open Working Group of the General Assembly on Sustainable Development Goals, targets 3.1 & 3.6, U.N. Doc. A/68/970 (2014).
- 96 ESCR Committee, Gen. Comment No. 14, supra note 16, para. 12.
- 97 CEDAW Committee, Gen. Recommendation No. 24, supra note 13, paras. 11 & 31(c).
- See, e.g., ESCR Committee, Gen. Comment No. 14, supra note 16, para. 12; CEDAW Committee, Gen. Recommendation No. 24, supra note 13, paras. 26-27; CEDAW Committee, Concluding Observations: Belize, para. 56, U.N. Doc. A/54/38/Rev.1 (1999).
- ESCR Committee, Gen. Comment No. 14, supra note 16, para. 12(b); Human Rights Committee, Concluding Observations: Mali, para. 14, U.N. Doc. CCPR/ CO/77/MLI (2003); CEDAW Committee, Concluding Observations: Guinea-Bissau, para. 38, U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/GNB/CO/6 (2009).

- See, e.g., ESCR Committee, Gen. Comment No. 14, supra note 16, para. 14; CRC Committee, Concluding Observations: Costa Rica, paras. 63-64, U.N. Doc. CRC/C//CRI/CO/4 (2011); CEDAW Committee, Concluding Observations: Bangladesh, paras. 31-32, U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/BGD/CO/7 (2011).
- 101 CEDAW Committee, Gen. Recommendation No. 24, supra note 13, para.14; ESCR Committee, Gen. Comment No. 14, supra note 16, para. 34.
- CEDAW Committee, Gen. Recommendation No. 24, supra note 13, para. 15; Alyne da Silva Pimentel Teixeira v. Brazil, CEDAW Committee, Commc'n No. 17/2008, para. 7.5, U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/49/D/17/2008 (2011); ESCR Committee, Gen. Comment No. 14, supra note 16, para. 35; ESCR Committee, Gen. Comment No. 14, supra note 16, para. 12(b); CEDAW Committee, Gen. Recommendation No. 24, supra note 13, para. 29; ESCR Committee, Concluding Observations: Kenya, para. 33, U.N. Doc. E/C.12/KEN/CO/1 (2008); CEDAW Committee, Concluding Observations: Hungary, para. 31(b), U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/HUN/CO/7-8 (2013).
- "Unsafe abortion" should be understood in the context of the World Health Organization's definition, which states that unsafe abortion is "a procedure for terminating a pregnancy performed by persons lacking the necessary skills or in an environment not in conformity with minimal medical standards, or both. ... The WHO advises that what is considered 'safe' should be interpreted in line with current WHO technical and policy guidance." Bulletin of the World Health Organization, "From concept to measurement: operationalizing WHO's definition of unsafe abortion" (2014), available at http://www.who.int/bulletin/volumes/92/3/14-136333/en/.
- General Assembly, Report of the Open Working Group of the General Assembly on Sustainable Development Goals, targets 5.3 & 5.6, U.N. Doc. A/68/970 (2014).
- <sup>105</sup> CEDAW, *supra* note 10, art. 16(e).
- CEDAW Committee & CRC Committee, Joint Gen. Recommendation No. 31 & Gen. Comment No. 18, supra note 29, paras. 21 & 67.
- 107 CEDAW Committee, General Recommendation No. 28 on the core obligations of States parties under article 2 of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, paras. 17 & 38(e), U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/GC/28 (2010).
- Comprehensive sexuality education should be unbiased and scientifically accurate and contain information about sexual and reproductive rights, preventing unwanted pregnancies, preventing sexually transmitted infections including HIV, and transforming cultural views about gender equality and access to sexual and reproductive health services. CRC Committee, Gen. Comment No. 15, supra note 43,

- paras. 31, 60, & 69; CEDAW Committee, *Concluding Observations: Eritrea*, para. 23, U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/ERI/CO/3 (2006); CRC Committee, *Concluding Observations: Antigua and Barbuda*, para. 54, U.N. Doc. CRC/C/15/Add.247 (2004); ESCR Committee, *Concluding Observations: Benin*, para. 42, U.N. Doc. E/C/12/1/Add.78 (2002); Special Rapporteur on the right to education, *Rep. of the United Nations Special Rapporteur on the right to education*, U.N. Doc. A/65/162 (July 23, 2010) (by Vernor Muñoz).
- 109 CRC Committee, Gen. Comment No. 15, supra note 43, paras. 31 & 59.
- ESCR Committee, Gen. Comment No. 14, supra note 16, para. 35; CEDAW Committee, Gen. Recommendation No. 24, supra note 13, para. 15; CEDAW Committee, Concluding Observations: Costa Rica, paras. 32-33, U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/CRI/CO/5-6 (2011).
- CEDAW Committee, Gen. Recommendation No. 24, supra note 13, para 9; Report of the Office of the High Commissioner on Human Rights, Technical Guidance on Maternal Mortality and Morbidity, paras. 69-73, U.N. Doc. A/HRC/21/22 (2012); see also CEDAW, supra note 10, art. 18; CEDAW Committee, Rules of Procedure, Rules 47 & 48, available at http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/cedaw/docs/CEDAW\_Rules\_en.pdf (accessed Jan. 3, 2014).
- See Human Rights Council, Institution-building of the United Nations Human Rights Council, Annex, U.N. Doc. A/HRC/RES/5/1 (2006); Human Rights Council, Decision 6/102: Follow-up to Human Rights Council resolution 5/1 (2007); Human Rights Council, Review of the work and functioning of the Human Rights Council, Annex, U.N. Doc. A/HRC/RES/16/21 (2011)
- General Assembly, Format and organizational aspects of the high level political forum on sustainable development, para. 8(a), U.N. Doc. A/RES/67/290 (2013).

#### Textbox Endnotes on Page 13 Reproductive Rights and Child, Early, and Forced Marriage

- GORDON BROWN, OUT OF WEDLOCK, INTO SCHOOL: COMBATTING CHILD MARRIAGE IN SOUTH ASIA 3 (2012).
- <sup>2</sup> CEDAW Committee & CRC Committee, *Joint General Recommendation No. 31 & General Comment No. 18: On harmful practices,* (2014), paras. 21 & 67, U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/GC/31-CRC/C/GC/18 (2014).
- <sup>3</sup> *Id.*, para. 21.
- <sup>4</sup> Id.
- See, e.g., Nepalese women suffer stigma and pain of falling wombs, The Guardian, May 7, 2014, http://www. theguardian.com/global-development/2014/may/07/ nepalese-women-stigma-fallen-wombs-prolapseduterus (last visited Feb. 10, 2015).

### REPRODUCTIVERIGHTS.ORG

