The following findings from the Center for Reproductive Rights are based on an extensive review of relevant policies, guidelines, training manuals, curricula, and professional codes of conduct and ethics; an analysis of key laws, court cases, and legal texts; and interviews with lawyers, health care providers and administrators, and academics in mainland Tanzania.

1. Mainland Tanzania’s laws and policies on termination of pregnancy are unclear, confusing, and often contradictory. Yet, these laws and policies are more expansive than most believe, and the current legal and policy framework offers ample opportunities for increasing access to safe abortion services.

2. The Maputo Protocol, which Tanzania ratified in 2007, requires the government to “provide adequate, affordable and accessible health services” to women and to protect the reproductive rights of women by authorising medical abortion in cases of sexual assault, rape, incest, and where the continued pregnancy endangers the mental and physical health of the [pregnant woman] or the life of the [pregnant woman] or the foetus.

By ratifying the Protocol, the Tanzanian Government is obligated under regional human rights law to ensure that safe and legal abortion is available and accessible on all of these grounds.

3. The Constitution of the United Republic of Tanzania contains key provisions that support access to safe and legal abortion services and post-abortion care. The Constitution affirms the importance of respecting the rights to life, human dignity, equality and non-discrimination, privacy, and freedom from inhuman and degrading treatment. International and regional human rights standards have established that access to safe and legal abortion and post-abortion care is essential to protecting women’s most fundamental human rights.

4. There is no absolute prohibition on termination of pregnancy in mainland Tanzania. It is permitted to preserve the life or health of the pregnant woman. Health is defined to include both physical and mental health.

   • Rex v. Bourne was the first case to address the grounds upon which an abortion could legally be provided in England. This case has had a profound and lasting impact on the legal regimes of former British colonies and Commonwealth countries. Most colonies, Tanzania included, had—and continue to have—an abortion provision nearly identical to the one at issue in Rex v. Bourne in their penal codes and, under common-law principles, can look to English case law as an authoritative interpretation of that law.

   In Bourne, Judge Macnaghten reasoned that the use of the word “unlawfully” in the provisions criminalizing abortion in the English Offences Against the Person Act—similar to sections 150–152 of Tanzania’s Penal Code—was intentional and suggested that there were circumstances under which abortion could be “lawfully” procured. He then reasoned that a life exception had always been implicit in the provisions criminalizing abortion and found that a “reasonable view” of preserving a pregnant woman’s life included preserving her mental and physical health. In essence, Bourne created an explicit life and mental and physical health exception to the criminalization of abortion.

   • In 1959, the East African Court of Appeal, which had jurisdiction over the territory of Tanzania, affirmed the Bourne decision in Mehar Singh Bansel v. R, an abortion case on appeal from the Supreme Court of Kenya. This decision affirming Bourne is binding in Tanzania.
5. The mainland Tanzanian Penal Code, in addition to criminalizing “unlawful” abortion, provides for the separate offence of “child destruction.”

This section criminalizes the destruction of a “child capable of being born alive” and stipulates that there is a presumption that the foetus is capable of being born alive after the 28th week of pregnancy. While this section criminalizes a termination of pregnancy performed in the final weeks of pregnancy, as with the provisions criminalizing “unlawful abortion,” there is an exception to criminalization when the termination is done to preserve the pregnant woman’s life or health.

6. The Tanzanian Government has repeatedly acknowledged the harm of unsafe abortion and has affirmed its commitment to providing comprehensive post-abortion care.

Under the Ministry of Health’s Post-Abortion Care Clinical Skills Curriculum, mid-level providers can safely and beneficially provide first-trimester abortion services.

7. The absence of comprehensive government policies and guidelines on the provision of safe and legal abortion has grave consequences for women in need of these services. This also demonstrates a fundamental failure on the part of the Tanzanian Government to create an enabling environment that ensures access to safe abortion services under the law, in violation of its obligations under the Maputo Protocol and various national-level policies.
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