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Distinguished Special Rapporteur on violence against women:

In response to your call for submissions on mistreatment and violence against women during reproductive health care with a focus on childbirth, the Black Mamas Matter Alliance and the Center for Reproductive Rights present this joint submission highlighting examples of such violations as they occur in the United States (U.S.). This joint submission also draws attention to some of the innovative interventions that communities directly affected by mistreatment and violence in facility-based birth settings are developing to mitigate and prevent human rights violations.

The Black Mamas Matter Alliance (“BMMA”) is a national cross-sectoral, multidisciplinary network of Black women leaders and organizations working to improve equity and outcomes in U.S. maternal health. BMMA centers the needs, experiences, and knowledge of Black Mamas to advocate, drive research, build power, and shift culture in support of Black maternal health, rights, and justice. BMMA uses the phrase “Black Mamas” to represent a diversity of lived experiences, which includes birthing people of diverse genders (cis black women, trans folks, and gender non-conforming individuals) and all people of African descent (e.g. Afro-Latinx, African-American, Afro-Caribbean, Black, and African-immigrants). The Center for Reproductive Rights (“The Center”) is a global organization that uses law and policy to advance reproductive rights as fundamental human rights that governments around the world are obligated to respect, protect, and fulfill.

**Mistreatment of pregnant and birthing people in the United States**

The U.S. healthcare system is expensive, technologically advanced, and complex. But despite these resources, the U.S. has failed to ensure that basic human rights standards related to safe pregnancy, birth, and respectful maternal health care are consistently and equitably applied within health care facilities. At the same time, the U.S. has also failed to create broader enabling conditions that promote the health and dignity of women and their families before, during, and after pregnancy. As a result, some pregnant and birthing women in the U.S. experience mistreatment and violence when accessing health care, in violation of their rights to life, health, equality, non-discrimination, and freedom from torture, cruel, inhuman, and degrading treatment, among others.

In the U.S., gender-based violence is often racialized and women who experience multiple and overlapping forms of oppression are especially vulnerable to violations of their human rights. The devaluation of Black womanhood and Black motherhood in the U.S. places Black women seeking pregnancy related health care at unique risk for abuse and neglect in facility-based birth settings. Because entrenched discrimination on the basis of gender, race, class, and other factors is both normalized and
denied in the U.S., many instances of mistreatment and violence in birth facilities are overlooked or accepted by government actors, health care professionals, and even patients themselves. Nevertheless, a growing movement of U.S. advocates recognize that fundamental human rights are violated when women and girls are forced to endure preventable suffering, including death, illness, injury, mistreatment, abuse, discrimination, and denials of information and bodily autonomy. Since these violations—and the gender stereotyping that perpetuates them—also harm gender minorities who experience pregnancy and birth, this submission will refer to “pregnant and birthing people” in addition to “women and girls.”

Examples of disrespect, abuse, and mistreatment during facility-based births

Disrespect and abuse of pregnant and birthing women and girls is an under-acknowledged problem in the U.S., and more data and research is needed to better understand the nature and prevalence of this discrimination. In the meantime, existing research, media reports, and the experiences of civil society members demonstrate that mistreatment during childbirth does indeed occur in the U.S. and that Black women and other women of color are particularly vulnerable to this type of abuse.

The Black Mamas Matter Alliance encompasses an extensive network of civil society organizations that are working in communities across the U.S. to improve birth outcomes and experiences for Black and other marginalized women. In this capacity, members of the alliance have witnessed, researched, and/or received information about a range of practices that constitute mistreatment and violence toward people seeking reproductive health care, especially during facility-based birth.

Specifically, women and pregnant people in the U.S. have expressed concerns that their birth experiences and the maternal health care they received were compromised by discrimination based on real or perceived personal characteristics. Women and girls report feeling stereotyped, dismissed, treated rudely, or treated with less urgency or dignity because of their race, ethnicity, marital status, education, income, source of insurance (public vs. private), age, gender expression, sexual orientation, immigration status, language abilities, weight, disability, mental and/or physical health history, housing status, and history of carceral or child welfare involvement. Reports of disrespect also include verbal abuse from providers and other hospital staff, such as insulting, humiliating, and condescending remarks. In some cases, physical abuse or unnecessarily rough physical contact has been observed.

In the U.S., a woman’s access to high quality, respectful maternity care varies significantly depending on her geographic location, race/ethnicity, income, immigration status, and other factors. Many providers do not accept public insurance (Medicaid), nearly half of all U.S. counties lack an obstetric provider, rural hospitals are closing across the country, and hospitals that serve a high proportion of Black patients have been shown to provide lower quality care. These structural barriers to equitable maternity care facilitate mistreatment. For example, privacy is systematically eroded for women seeking access to maternal health care via public insurance programs or in crowded public hospitals. As the Special Rapporteur on poverty noted in his report following an official visit to the U.S. in 2017, “[p]oor pregnant women who seek Medicaid prenatal care are subjected to interrogations of a highly sensitive and personal nature, effectively surrendering their privacy rights.”

Additionally, to obtain prenatal and birth related health care, women must navigate a complex and fragmented health care delivery and payment system, often with minimal assistance or empathy from providers and policymakers. By placing many of the burdens of health care coordination on patients, the health care system exacerbates inequities and barriers to care that women and girls already face, including
disproportionate poverty, childcare responsibilities, pregnancy discrimination in employment and housing, and unmet transportation needs. When women are unable to overcome barriers to comprehensive prenatal care, they are often treated as “irresponsible” patients when they eventually arrive at facilities to give birth.

One of the most commonly cited concerns among women and girls who have given birth in the U.S. is that medical providers did not listen to them or provide them with sufficient information. While being dismissed or ignored may appear to be a less severe example of violence against women, for Black women giving birth in the U.S., this neglect can be fatal. The U.S. is the only wealthy country in the world where maternal mortality is rising, and Black women are 3-4 times more likely to suffer maternal death, and twice as likely to suffer maternal morbidity as white women are.5 These racial disparities in maternal health outcomes persist across income and education levels, and a growing body of evidence indicates that exposure to racism inside and outside the health care system plays a significant role.7 The crisis of preventable maternal mortality in the U.S. has been recognized as a human rights concern in reviews of the U.S. by the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination in 2008 and 2014 and during the Universal Periodic Review of the U.S. in 2015, as well as in reports concluding official visits to the U.S. from the UN working group on discrimination against women in law and in practice in 2015, the UN working group of experts on people of African descent in 2016, and the Special Rapporteur on extreme poverty in 2017.8

When Black women express concerns and needs during birth and providers fail to listen, potentially life-saving health care may be denied or delayed. Indeed, when Serena Williams, (the American tennis star), publicly recounted her struggle to convince health care providers that she was experiencing blood clots after the birth of her daughter in 2018, Black women across the U.S. recognized parallels in their own birth experiences and felt their own fears validated—if such a wealthy, healthy, and well-known Black woman could not get her medical team to take her concerns and self-knowledge seriously, how safe are Black women who give birth in facilities without those privileges?9

The lack of options available to birthing people in the U.S. health care system undermines human rights

Relationships between birthing women and their health care providers may also be undermined by legacies of violence and enduring power hierarchies that limit choice and fuel mistrust. Since the nation’s founding, Black women and girls in the U.S. have been dehumanized and subjected to violence, including enslavement, segregated health care, and medical experimentation that entailed sexual and reproductive abuses.10 Black women’s bodies and decisions have been repeatedly pathologized. Thus, the distrust of medical systems that some women feel is rooted in history, lived experiences, and ongoing demonstrations of bias and discrimination on the part of health care providers.11 Research shows that contemporary U.S. physicians diagnose and treat women and Black patients differently than they treat men and white patients, and that they hold false beliefs about Black women’s capacity to endure pain.12 Black physicians are significantly underrepresented in the health care workforce, and many Black women never have an opportunity to be cared for by someone who shares their racial or cultural background. In this context, it can be difficult for birthing Black women to connect with providers who effectively communicate respect.

It is also important to note that, in the U.S., many women do not have a meaningful choice in where they give birth, how they will birth, or who they will birth with. In the early 1900s, the medical establishment
mounted a campaign to bring childbirth into hospital facilities, demonizing midwives and home births in the process. The campaign targeted traditional birth attendants—many of whom were women of color, indigenous, and/or immigrants—portraying them as dirty and dangerous.13 These efforts contributed to the imposition of legal restrictions that continue to constrain the ability of midwives to practice today. The result is a patchwork of laws that vary from state to state, where some midwives are prohibited from practicing, where women in some locations are prohibited from birthing at home, and where insurance companies’ decisions about what they will and won’t cover are often determinative of the type of care a woman receives.14 Consequently, birthing in a hospital with a physician is the only option accessible to most women in the United States.

Finally, pregnant women imprisoned in the criminal justice system or in immigration detention facilities have even fewer options than most, and lack avenues for recourse when they are mistreated and denied appropriate maternal health care.15 While both of these systems resist the transparency needed to facilitate accountability for human rights violations, media reports and the testimony of currently and formerly imprisoned people have exposed ongoing abuses in the context of pregnancy and birth.16 Women in these settings continue to be shackled—even where applicable laws and policies prohibit it—and pregnant women experiencing labor or obstetric emergencies have been denied necessary health care.17

**Coercion, threats, and denials of informed consent**

Although informed consent for medical care is a legal requirement in the U.S., in practice it is often treated as an exercise in paperwork rather than an opportunity to meaningfully engage women in shared decision-making. True informed consent is frequently undermined by lack of communication, coercion, failure to provide information in a language the patient can understand, and in some cases by misuse or permission of the law.

For example, many women who present to health care facilities in the U.S. are asked to sign pages of fine print documents before they receive any treatment. These documents are designed to protect the hospitals and providers from legal liability and may do little to inform the woman about her rights and options. What happens after that may depend in large part on the individual health care provider’s willingness to explain and discuss specific tests, procedures, and options, and the woman’s ability to self-advocate for detailed information about her condition and options.

At teaching hospitals, birthing women and girls may be especially vulnerable to objectification as trainees come in and out of patient spaces, openly discussing patient’s bodies among one another and sometimes touching patients without prior introduction or acknowledgment. Moreover, when a woman’s entitlement to information and autonomous decision-making is viewed as inferior to that of her medical provider, she is placed at risk for violations that she may not even know about. For instance, in most U.S. states it is legal for doctors and medical students to practice performing pelvic exams on unconscious women who are under anesthesia for other treatment, have no medical need for a pelvic exam, and have not explicitly consented to one.18

Additionally, the U.S. has a highly medicalized maternity care system where women typically labor and deliver their babies in hospital units with hierarchical medical teams led by physicians trained in surgery. The rate of surgical interventions during childbirth exceeds the World Health Organization’s recommended thresholds, with about one third of U.S. births occurring via cesarean section.19 Misaligned incentives (including payment structures, scheduling, and medical malpractice fears), along with a
cultural tendency to prioritize the well-being of the fetus over the well-being and autonomy of the pregnant person, contribute to high rates of unnecessary interventions. Women and girls in the U.S. have reported denial of informed consent and coercive tactics related to these procedures. In some cases, birthing people simply assume they must defer to the physician’s order. In more extreme cases, women who have refused surgical procedures have been forcibly operated on or punished, contradicting established law and medical ethics. In those cases, health care providers, systems, and legal actors have relied on the same arguments that animate opposition to abortion and undermine the autonomy of pregnant people.

Pregnant and birthing women in the U.S. have also been told that if they do not comply with the directives or preferences of the hospital’s medical staff, their baby will die and/or they will be reported to child welfare agencies for abusing their child. Women of color are particularly vulnerable to this type of coercion and hospital personnel routinely perceive and document their self-advocacy efforts as “non-compliance.” Hospitals also engage in discriminatory surveillance of poor women and women of color, disproportionately testing pregnant women of color and their newborns for drugs without their consent.

Child welfare agencies use evidence of health challenges such as prenatal substance use, postpartum depression, and/or poverty to charge mothers with child abuse or neglect, collapsing legal distinctions between fetuses and children, discouraging women from seeking prenatal care or support, and subjecting new mothers to punitive supervision and surveillance systems and family separation. In addition to biased voluntary reporting by health care personnel, “mandatory reporting and mandatory investigation laws—requiring professionals to report and child protection agencies to investigate all instances of suspected neglect—inhibit a public health response by imposing a coercive legal regime on an overly broad category of cases and preventing professionals from making more effective interventions.”

Existing accountability mechanisms fail to address mistreatment of birthing people in medical facilities

The U.S. has a complex and fragmented health care system that encompasses a mixture of public and private actors and entities. This fragmentation can make it difficult to apply accountability mechanisms that protect women’s human rights. Currently, neither the health care system nor the criminal and civil legal systems adequately ensure system-wide accountability for women’s human and reproductive rights. Although patients may flag the problematic behavior of individual physicians by filing complaints with a facility or medical board, these processes are not designed to facilitate resolution or remedies for women who have been mistreated during facility-based births. Similarly, although criminal and civil law does prohibit certain abusive conduct, it does not cover all instances of mistreatment in facility-based birth settings and there are many practical barriers to leveraging these adversarial strategies.

The Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights report to the Human Rights Council providing technical guidance on the application of a human rights-based approach to maternal health policy recommends replacing accountability gaps like these with monitoring mechanisms and
processes that facilitate continual feedback, adjustment, and future planning, as well as remedies for violations. Under the current system, women in the U.S. who are affected by mistreatment in facility-based birth settings have few formal opportunities to engage providers, systems, and decision-makers in honest feedback processes that might prevent future mistreatment.

**U.S. government and health systems responses to human rights violations during birth**

Over the last several years, civil society, concerned researchers, and feminist media creators have successfully raised public awareness of U.S. birth inequities, including racial disparities in preventable maternal mortality and morbidity. During the last Congress, the Center for Reproductive Rights tracked around twenty proposed federal bills related to maternal health, two of which ultimately passed. Unfortunately, the role of racism, bias, and discrimination in facility-based birth settings remains controversial and narratives that blame women’s bodies and choices for poor maternal health outcomes and experiences still dominate the mainstream medical and political discourse. The idea that women—and poor women of color in particular—cannot be trusted to make good decisions for themselves and their families undermines progress toward improved outcomes. Moreover, “[e]xclusively addressing individual behaviors as the basis for health outcomes, without a structural perspective, can lead to stigmatization, scapegoating, heightened surveillance, and criminalization, all of which disregard the bodily autonomy of pregnant women.”

To the extent that government, health care systems, facilities, and obstetric providers have been willing to accept some of the responsibility for needed improvements in U.S. maternal health care, these efforts have focused primarily on implementing clinical protocols that standardize technical responses to obstetric emergencies within and across facilities. While important and necessary, these safety improvement efforts tend not to address the role of structural racism and bias, or the importance of prioritizing patient autonomy and dignified, woman-centered care.

**Good practices and other efforts to protect human rights surrounding birth**

The Black Mamas Matter Alliance and its members are building new models of care and support for birthing individuals in their communities and are setting forth guidelines for respectful care during childbirth that are informed by human rights standards and the experiences of women directly affected by mistreatment. BMMA uses the birth and reproductive justice framework to advance a human rights-based approach to respectful birth care grounded in the scholarship of black feminist and womanist perspectives. Reproductive justice is a theory and a movement built by Black women in the U.S. who defend and promote the human rights of all people to (1) maintain personal bodily autonomy, (2) have children, (3) not have children, and (4) parent children in safe and sustainable communities.

BMMA published a paper in April 2018 titled, “Setting the Standard for Holistic Care of and for Black Women.” This paper acknowledges the racialized and gender-based violence that has shaped living conditions for Black women in the United States, and it offers recommendations to help mitigate and ultimately transform oppressive birth experiences. BMMA advocates for holistic care that addresses gaps and ensures continuity; is affordable and accessible; is confidential, safe and trauma-informed; ensures informed consent; centers Black mamas, families and parents and is patient-led; is culturally-informed and includes traditional practices; is provided by culturally competent and congruent providers; respects spirituality and spiritual health; honors and fosters resilience; includes the voices of Black mamas; is
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Additionally, many of BMMA’s members are providing direct services to women and pregnant people in their communities, as doulas, midwives, nurses, physicians, and in other roles. Black doulas committed to racial and gender justice are playing a particularly powerful role in transforming expectations about how women should be treated during facility-based childbirth in the United States. Doulas are birth workers who provide non-clinical emotional, physical, and informational support to people who are pregnant, birthing, and/or postpartum. Research shows that doula care can promote human rights in maternal health settings by improving both birth outcomes and birth experiences.34

Many of BMMA’s member doulas are creating local models of service delivery that build the capacity of their own communities to care for one another and honor Black women’s humanity. These community-based doula groups train women of color from within neighborhoods that are exposed to mistreatment during childbirth, increasing the diversity of the doula field and ensuring that marginalized women have free or low-cost access to doula care. In the process, they raise awareness about respectful birth care throughout the community, while empowering women of color with the knowledge that at least one person present at their birth will be sensitized to systemic issues of racism and inequality and can be counted on to support the birthing person’s dignity and autonomy. In most cases, community-based doula groups are providing these critical services without adequate support or government funding.

Finally, in New York City, members of BMMA participated in a collaboration between the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and community members to develop a set of human rights-based “Standards for Respectful Care at Birth.” These guidelines are being shared with both patients and providers, encouraging birthing people to claim their human rights, and building the capacity of government institutions and the health care system to uphold human rights within the city’s birthing facilities.35

**Recommendations to the Special Rapporteur on violence against women**

The Black Mamas Matter Alliance and the Center for Reproductive Rights respectfully urge the Special Rapporteur on violence against women to consider the following recommendations as she prepares her report on violence against women in facility-based birth care settings:

- Reinforce that states have an affirmative obligation to combat violence against women and girls, including violence that is committed by private actors in facility-based birth settings, and to prioritize groups that are most vulnerable to abuse in efforts to prevent and remedy violence.

- Recognize that the gender-based violence many women and girls experience is deeply intertwined with racial violence and that women and girls with marginalized racial and ethnic identities are subject to racialized forms of mistreatment during facility-based childbirth and when accessing other forms of reproductive health care.

- Recognize that poverty is a key factor in violations during facility-based childbirth, particularly in contexts where poor women and girls are viewed as undeserving of health care and may have few or no alternatives to the facilities and providers that mistreat them.
• Recognize that women, girls, and gender minorities frequently suffer violence and human rights violations while birthing in custodial settings. In this context, denial of health care, shackling, and forced separation from newborn children are forms of violence against women that states should protect against. Further recognize that in many countries, women and girls living in poverty and women and girls from minority groups are disproportionately targeted for incarceration and detention and recommend that states address the root causes driving the imprisonment of these populations.

• Recognize that in some instances, violence against women and girls during reproductive health care can amount to torture, cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment.

• To prevent, address, and eliminate violence against women, girls, and gender minorities during facility-based births, States should collect and publish data on the nature and prevalence of violence, disrespect, and abuse in these settings, disaggregated by race/ethnicity, gender, and socioeconomic status.

• States should enact and implement human rights-based national standards defining threshold requirements for accessible, available, acceptable, quality maternal health care, free from violence and discrimination, and should implement mechanisms to hold their health systems accountable for meeting respectful care standards.

• States should ensure that pregnant and birthing people are empowered to pursue appropriate health care and remedies when their human rights are violated, including access to different health care providers and access to legal counsel.

• States should promote human rights-based education on respectful maternity care for all health care system participants, including facility administrators, providers, and patients.

• States should ensure that women, girls, and gender minorities have a meaningful choice in where they birth and who they birth with by (1) ensuring that facility-based birth care is respectful, safe, and accessible to all who need it, and (2) by removing legal and financial barriers that hinder people’s access to birth providers and settings that are not facility-based.

• States should fund and support doulas, community health workers, and other para-professionals who promote respectful care during birth and can help prevent or mitigate instances of mistreatment and violence against birthing people in facility-based birth settings. Funding should be sufficient to ensure birth workers earn a living wage and should not be tied to coercive policies, such as mandatory reporting.

• With the active participation of women and girls, States should review and revise laws and policies that impact facility-based birth care, ensuring that such laws and policies adequately protect the human rights of individuals who are pregnant, birthing, and postpartum. States should ensure that
women, girls, and gender minorities are never criminalized for the health care decisions they make while exercising bodily autonomy during pregnancy and birth.

- States should ensure that, regardless of pregnancy status, women, girls, and gender minorities are not subjected to detention or criminalization because they have sought out health services that are necessary to protect their lives and physical or mental health, including maternity services, substance use treatment, mental health services, and services related to abortion and contraception.

We appreciate this opportunity to provide input in advance of the Special Rapporteur’s report. Should the mandate need any additional information, please do not hesitate to reach out to Pilar Herrero, Senior Staff Attorney, U.S. Human Rights, Center for Reproductive Rights at pherrero@reprorights.org and Angela Doyinsola Aina, Co-Director and Research Lead, Black Mamas Matter Alliance at ada@blackmamasmatter.org.

---
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6 During 2011-2014, the pregnancy related mortality ratios were 40 deaths per 100,00 live births for Black women versus 12.4 deaths per 100,000 live births for white women. See Pregnancy Mortality Surveillance System, CTRS.
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